

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/575,981	JEMELIN, VINCENT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH	1782	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH. (3) _____.

(2) Peter I. Bernstein. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2011.

Type: Telephonic Video Conference
 Personal [copy given to: applicant applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others

(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 10 and 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner proposed moving dependent claim 18 into independent claim 10 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. One of Applicant's Representatives responded over voice mail message on October 7, 2011, stating that the inventor cannot respond until October 20 (it is believed this was the date left in the voice mail message: the voice mail message is believed to be left by Xiaochun Zhu, an attorney of record). A 103 issue was discussed only to the extent that claim 18 was proposed to be moved into claim 10, to overcome the current 103 rejection of claim 10. Examiner notes that new independent claim 21 was not discussed on September 14, 2011 because Examiner did not see new claim 21 (it begins on a new page in the Amendment) until October 5, 2011.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

/WALTER B AUGHENBAUGH/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1782	
---	--